

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,039	02/04/2004	Evanthia Papadopoulou	BUR920030149US1	2038
23550 7590	01/08/2007 NICK & D'ALESSA	EXAMINER		
75 STATE STREE		PARIHAR, SUCHIN		
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALDANI, NI 12.			2825	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTH	-IS	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/708,039		PAPADOPOULOU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	•	Suchin Parihar	2825				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication			 ddress			
Period for		••	·				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING INSIDE IN THE MAILING IN THE MA	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of the company of the compa				
Status							
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	/4/2004		•			
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)	<u></u>						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application	tion					
•/-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-15,17-24 and 26-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	_						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner ·					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	.g., p, c	3 / 10(4) (4) 5/ (1).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		-	Stage			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
	•						
				•			
Attachment			_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview : Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🗶 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of t	nformal Patent Application				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/4/of 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/708,039

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to application 10/708,039, filed 2/4/2004. Claims 1-31 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 3, the meaning of "L infinity" is unclear and must be defined in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-15, 17-24 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ikeda (US PG Pub 2004/0096092).
- 5. With respect to claims 1, 12 and 21, Ikeda teaches:

partitioning (dividing image into Voronoi regions so that each region includes single pattern edge) an edge of a shape (see Figure 11, IC shape edge is divided into

Application/Control Number: 10/708,039

Art Unit: 2825

sections [i.e. intervals]) in the IC design into a plurality of intervals (lattice sections, see Description of Figures 9-12, paragraphs [0119]-[0122]); and

assigning at least one dimension to each interval (length [i.e. dimension] is imparted to each edge of the lattice [i.e. interval], paragraph [0170]).

6. With respect to claim 30, Ikeda teaches:

means for partitioning (dividing image into Voronoi regions so that each region includes single pattern edge) an edge of a shape (see Figure 11, IC shape edge is divided into sections [i.e. intervals]) in the IC design into a plurality of intervals (lattice sections, see Description of Figures 9-12, paragraphs [0119]-[0122]), the partitioning means including:

means for generating a core Voronoi diagram for the shape using a first metric (preparing a voronoi diagram with respect to the extracted partial point sequence, paragraph []0055);

means for partitioning the edge based on the core Voronoi diagram (Voronoi diagram prepared with respect to vertices [i.e. endpoints of each edge interval], paragraph [0121]; also see Voronoi diagram being generated in Figure 11);

means for assigning at least one dimension to each interval (length [i.e. dimension] is imparted to each edge of the lattice [i.e. interval], paragraph [0170]) using a second metric (length metric Lij, see paragraph [0170]); and

means for using the at least one dimension (comparing Voronoi diagrams, which suggests the use of comparing respective lengths, widths or radii, see paragraph

Application/Control Number: 10/708,039

Art Unit: 2825

[0249]) to evaluate a check rule (checking the edges and vertices that do not match each other, paragraph [0249]).

- 7. With respect to claims 2, 13 and 22, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 1, 12 and 21, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: generating a core Voronoi diagram for the shape (preparing a voronoi diagram, paragraph [0055]); and partitioning the edge based on the core Voronoi diagram (Voronoi diagram prepared with respect to vertices [i.e. endpoints of each edge interval], paragraph [0121]; also see Voronoi diagram being generated in Figure 11).
- 8. With respect to claims 4, 14 and 23, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claim 2, 13 and 22, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: wherein the partitioning step further includes partitioning the edge based on a core element for each vertex of the core Voronoi diagram (as seen in Fig 11, pattern elements have edges divided by the vertices shown in the Voronoi diagram figure).
- 9. With respect to claims 5, 15 and 24, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 4, 14 and 23, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: wherein the core element is one of a largest possible core element (unit cell [i.e. core element] has a larger size, paragraph [0023]) and a smallest possible core element.
- 10. With respect to claims 7, 17 and 26, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 1, 12 and 22, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: wherein the at least one dimension includes a width for each interval (weight function for each edge o the lattice, paragraph [0170]) and a spacing to a neighboring shape for each interval (a

Art Unit: 2825

length [i.e. spacing to next shape] for each edge of the lattice [i.e. interval], paragraph [0170]).

Page 5

- 11. With respect to claims 8, 18 and 27, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 1, 12 and 22, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: wherein the dimension is a function of another dimension (Lij, a length dimension which is a function of two dimensions I and j, see paragraph [0170]).
- 12. With respect to claims 9, 19 and 28, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 1, 12 and 22, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: using the at least one dimension (comparing Voronoi diagrams, which suggests the use of comparing respective lengths, widths or radii, see paragraph [0249]) to evaluate a check rule (checking the edges and vertices that do not match each other, paragraph [0249]).
- 13. With respect to claims 10, 20 and 29, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claims 9, 19 and 28, from which the claims depend respectively. Ikeda teaches: wherein the check rule involves at least one of: a single edge (see Figure 33, S86, wherein each Voronoi region includes a single pattern edge), a pair of neighboring edges, and edges within more than one layer of the IC design.
- 14. With respect to claim 11, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claim 1, from which the claim depends. Ikeda teaches: wherein each concave vertex of the shape is an interval (Figure 17 shows images of pattern with convex/concave contours, see Figure 17).
- 15. With respect to claim 31, Ikeda teaches all the elements of claim 30, from which the claim depends. Ikeda teaches: wherein the check rule is a width dependent spacing

Art Unit: 2825

rule (see Figure 16, wherein searching/checking the spacing between the kernels CN2 and CN4 to the edge of a shape).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 16. Claims 6, 16 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: With respect to claims 6, 16 and 25, the prior art of record fails to teach:

wherein in the case that the core element is the largest possible core element, the intervals are as large as possible, and

wherein in the case that the core element is the smallest possible core element, the intervals are as small as possible.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suchin Parihar whose telephone number is 571-272-6210. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2825

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PAUL DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER Suchin Parihar Examiner AU 2825